WT DOCKET 02-55

## **ORIGINAL**

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

## Congress of the United States

February 26, 2004

Michael K. Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Powell:

We are writing to express our views regarding the efforts by the Federal Communications Commission (the Commission) to address interference to public safety communications systems that operate in the 300 MHz band (WT Dockst No. 02-55). We are toubled by reports that the Commission may address interference problems in a master that results in an economic windfull to one company, and that would violate the compotitive bidding requirement of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act.

We are extremely concerned about the incrousing incidents of interference to public safety communications systems that operate in the \$00 MHz band. Other than possibly military spectrum operations, we can think of no spectrum-related services more important than the communications systems for police, fire departments, and other emergency services. The Commission must take the steps necessary to address interference to public safety operations in the \$00 MHz band, and there should be no greater spectrum-related priority.

Interference can and should be addressed without involving any spectrum outside of the 800 MHz band. For instance, interference could be addressed by rebanding the 800 MHz spectrum so that public safety and other high-site communications systems operate on frequencies that are adequately separated from satisfus analogying low-site collular infrastructure. This could be accomplished by reserving the lower portion of the 800 MHz band for public safety and other high-site systems and localing low-site systems in the upper portion. Rebanding in such a channer would be just as effective at addressing interference to public safety systems as the so-called "Consensus Plan." Please advise us on whother the Commission agrees with our assessment.

The Commission has the authority to relocate or otherwise modify the operation of incumbent licensees if the Commission believes that it is necessary to do so.\ The Commission also has the authority to require commercial entities that benefit from rebunding to reimburse displaced

RECEIVED

JUN 1 4 2004

Federal communications Commission Office of the Secretary

events exist dates have

No. of Copies rec'd\_ List ABCDE

<sup>1</sup> For example, the Commission previously manchaded that "a merowly-callored standatory relocation mechanism is essential to implement a wife-even fectating advance in the source 300 MEE SMR industry." Assemblement of Part 90 of the Commission's Rates to Facilities the Parter Development of SMR Systems in the 500 MHz Programmy Band, First Report and Onder, 1 i PCC Red 1443, § 73 (1995).

incumbents for their relocation costs.<sup>2</sup> Please advice us whether the Commission agrees with our assessment.

If the Commission rebanded the 800 MHz band in a manner in which Nextel Communications was able to consolidate its 800 MHz spectrum allocations in a contiguous block, it appears that the value of Nextel's spectrum would increase considerably, and, as a result, Nextel would "bounfit" from consolidating its spectrum allocations in the 800 MHz band. Please advise us whether we are correct in that assumption.

In addition, we understand that several entities have expressed an interest in utilizing the 1910-1915/1990-1995 MHz band for commercial mobile services. We would expect that, if the Commission were to conduct an auction of licenses for use of the 1910-1915/1990-1995 MHz band, such an auction would be bothy contested and would raise billions of dollars for the U.S. Treasury. Since there is increased interest in using the 1910-1915/1990-1995 MHz band, please explain how the Commission could simply give any satily a license or licenses to use all, or even part, of the band without violating Section 309(j) of the Communications Act's requirement to use competitive bidding to resolve mutually exclusive applications.

Once again, we would like to reiterate that the Commission must address interference to public safety systems in the \$00 MHz band as quickly se possible. The Commission should rebend, but should loop all existing \$00 MHz licensees within the band rather than give one or more eatilies spectrum outside of the \$00 MHz band without conducting an auction consistent with Section 309().

We appreciate your prompt attention to this matter. Please respond to our questions no later than March 15, 2004. We expect the Commission to take no action on this proceeding until the Commission has responded to our questions and we have had the opportunity to evaluate the responses. We look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

Suicercity,

<sup>7</sup> Ad 9.73 ("The second supports our conclusion that voluntary negociations in and of themselves will not be adequate to what in the wide-area licensing" and thus "we believe it is necessary..... ne entablish a manchany nechanism for those situations where relocation is feasible but voluntary coportions have proved unsuccessful"), § 79 (requiring relocation neimbureament or a good pro-que-of twellment percentage of Part 30 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate Funes Development of Shift Systems to the 600 MHz Proposers Bond, second Report and Order, § 12 PCC Red 19079, § 99 (1997) ("All Ed Jiconness who bonds from the reflection of the insumbant ment share the relocation cases on a pro-rate lastify. In the SMR proceeding, the Commission schanded the 600 hd/lex portune to authorize cuising SMR licensees to bid for geographic-area (EA) licensees in the apper partices of the 300 MHz band. To enture that EA licensees could eajoy the full benefit of the accounted surfactures, the Commission granted them the authority to relocate who-specific incurabants showhere in the band but required EA licensees to relimbute the relocation cases of the sho-specific incurabants.

Bobbe Ship From Exist From Parkeralulin Salah Barbara Barbara